	Ambika Kumar, WSBA #38237 Sara A. Fairchild, WSBA #54419 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP	
3	920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 Telephone: 206.622.3150	
4	Facsimile: 206.757.7700	
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SPOKANE	
9	UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL	
10	WORKERS UNION, LOCAL 3000, a	N. 2.22 00272 TOD
11	non-profit corporation, UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS	No. 2:22-cv-00272-TOR
12	UNION, LOCAL 1439, a non-profit corporation, UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS UNION,	STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY
13	LOCAL 21, a non-profit corporation, AND FAYE IRENE GUENTHER,	3/20/2023 WITHOUT ORAL
14	an individual,	ARGUMENT
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	V.	
17	JOSEPH H. EMMONS, individually,	
18	AND OSPREY FIELD CONSULTING LLC, a limited liability company,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		

The parties stipulate and respectfully move the Court to stay all discovery, including the exchange of Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures, and to lift the stay only if the Court decides Plaintiffs have pleaded a claim upon which relief can be granted under Rule 12 or converts Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Complaint, Dkt. 9, to a Rule 56 motion for summary judgment. In support of this stipulated motion, the parties state as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs filed the Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for Spokane County on July 25, 2022, asserting claims for defamation and false light invasion of privacy. Dkt. 1-2.
- 2. On September 2, 2022, Plaintiffs served Defendants with written discovery requests.
- 3. On September 29, 2022, Defendants filed a special motion under Washington's Uniform Public Expression and Protection Act, RCW 4.105.010-.903 ("UPEPA"), asking the state court to dismiss the Complaint and award Defendants' attorneys' fees and costs. Dkt. 2-1 at 33-59.
- 4. On November 9, 2022, based on information Plaintiffs provided in an October 24, 2022, draft status report, Dkt. 1-1, Defendants timely removed the case to this Court. Dkt. 1.
- 5. On January 30, 2023, to facilitate the efficient resolution of Plaintiffs' claims, the parties reached the following agreement:

Defendants agree not to seek remedies available under UPEPA prior to discovery. Plaintiffs agree not to move for or serve discovery unless and until the Court decides that they have pleaded a claim upon which relief can be granted under Rule 12, or the Court converts Defendants' Rule 12 motion to dismiss to a Rule 56 motion for summary judgment. Notwithstanding this agreement, Plaintiffs may argue that discovery is necessary in opposing a motion to dismiss. ("Discovery Agreement")

- 6. On February 2, 2023, the Court issued its Order Setting Telephonic Scheduling Conference. Dkt. 8. The Order sets the Scheduling Conference for March 16, 2023, and directs the parties to make Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures at or within 14 days of the Rule 26(f) conference, discuss enumerated subjects at the Rule 26(f) conference, and submit a joint report addressing those subjects not less than 14 days before the Scheduling Conference. *Id.* at 2.
- 7. On February 13, 2023, Defendants filed their Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss the Complaint. Dkt. 9.
- 8. Pursuant to the Discovery Agreement, the parties wish to stay all discovery, including the Rule 26(f) conference, Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures, and joint report, and to lift the stay only if the Court decides Plaintiffs have pleaded a claim upon which relief can be granted under Rule 12 or converts Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss the Complaint to a Rule 56 motion for summary judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 16th day of February, 2023.